

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,370	06/23/2003		Michael J. Borden	6999 7476		
20567	7590	05/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SANDIA C		ATION	PHAN,	PHAN, THAI Q		
MS-0161				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALBUQUEI	RQUE, N	M 87185-0161		2128		

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/601,370	BORDEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thai Phan	2128
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-14 and 16-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er.)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
 Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>June 2003</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to patent application S/N: 10/601,370, filed on June 23, 2003. Claims 1-29 are pending in the Action.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statements filed on 06/23/2003 is being considered.

Drawings

The Drawings filed on 06/23/2006 are acceptable for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-14 and 16-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tautges et al, US patent no. 5,768,156.

As per claim 1, Tautges anticipates a method and apparatus for modeling threedimensional objects with feature limitations very identical to the claimed invention. According to Tautges the method includes: Application/Control Number: 10/601,370

Art Unit: 2128

Generating a sheet of hexahedral to remove from a volume mesh of the three dimensional hexahedrons (col. 13, lines 10-28, col. 14, lines 20-65, col. 15, line 60 to col. 16, line 8),

Determining nodes for merging together within the sheet with a merging conditions or threshold (col. 24, lines 26-61, cols. 25-30),

Merging the nodes for each determined set of nodes, and

Removing the sheet of hexahedrons from the volume mesh (cols. 35-36).

As per claims 3-8, Tautges anticipates the claimed limitations such as neighboring hexahedrons, removing the determined hexahedron, pairing nodes for merging, a sheet intersection to move, etc.

As per claim 9, Tautges anticipates a method and apparatus for modeling threedimensional objects with feature limitations very identical to the claimed invention. According to Tautges the method includes steps:

Generating a sheet of hexahedral to remove from a volume mesh of the three dimensional hexahedrons (col. 13, lines 10-28, col. 14, lines 20-65, col. 15, line 60 to col. 16, line 8),

Determining nodes for merging together within the sheet with a merging conditions or threshold (col. 24, lines 26-61, cols. 25-30),

Merging the nodes for each determined set of nodes, and

Removing or extracting the sheet of hexahedrons from the volume mesh (cols. 35-36).

Art Unit: 2128

Similarly, claims 10-14 and 16-21 are directed the volume mesh generation with feature limitations similar to the rejected claims above. Claims 10-14 and 16-21 are thus rejected in like manner.

As per claims 22-29, the claims are directed to a system and program product executable by a computer as in the rejected base claims above. Claims 22-29 are also rejected in like manner.

Conclusion

- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 1. US patent no. 5,553,206, issued to Meshkat, Siavash, on Sept. 1996
- 2. US patent no. 5,689,577, issued to Arata, Louis, on Nov. 1997
- 3. US patent no. 6,356,262, issued to Klosowski et al, on Mar. 2002
- 4. US patent no. 6,600,487, issued to Henn et al, on July 2003
- 5. US patent no. 6,825,839, issued to Huang et al, on Nov. 2004
- 6. US patent no. 6,879,946, issued to Rong et al, on Apr. 2005
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-3783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,370

Art Unit: 2128

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on 571-272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 10, 2006

Patent Examiner